Interview Summary	10/542,146	BARET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENNIFER K. MICHENER	1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>JENNIFER K. MICHENER</u> .	(3)Kip Werking.		
(2) <u>Tina Tai</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 September 2009.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 28.		·	
Identification of prior art discussed: Oswald and Boeck.			•
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. o	ງ)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discusssed proposed claabout new matter and discussed clarifying language for "in references as not teaching that the negative pressure pronding that the negative pressure pressure pronding that the negative pressure pronding that the negative pressure pronding</u>	aim amendments. Examiner reternal volume". Mr. Werking on the scontact.  Iments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that vol.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Research	grised a possible discussed combination of the discussed combination of the discussed combination of the discussed combination of the discussed and the discussion of the disc	concern nation of er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Jennifer K. Michener/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	Init 1795	

Application No.

Applicant(s)